

WORKSHOP EVALUATION
New England Chapter
March 28, 2009

Workshop Title: Tables and Graphs [editorial approach] (G) [#111]

Workshop Leader(s): Thomas A. Lang

of Registrants: 23

of Evaluations: 23

	1	2	3	4	5	# evals	averages	n/a
A. Organization	0	0	0	2	21	23	4.91	
B. Overall quality	0	0	0	0	23	23	5.00	
C. Knowledge of subject	0	0	0	0	23	23	5.00	
D. Presentation of material	0	0	0	1	22	23	4.96	
E. Ability to develop & guide participation and interaction	0	0	1	4	18	23	4.74	
F. Willingness to respond to questions	0	0	0	0	23	23	5.00	
G. Pacing of the workshop	0	0	0	2	21	23	4.91	
H. Learning value of homework	0	1	2	7	13	23	4.39	
I. Exercises & handouts during course	0	0	2	6	15	23	4.57	
						SCORE	4.83	

Met Expectations: Yes: 23 No: 0 Other: 0

Subject Experience:

None: 3 <1yr: 4 1-5 yrs: 9 6-10 yrs: 4 11-15 yrs: 1 >15 yrs: 2 N/A: 0

Comments:

- Tom was very knowledgeable and able to explain in layman's terms.
- Very informative. Learned a lot & presented very well. Worthwhile workshop. Thank you.
- Excellent, really helpful. Especially enjoyed the second part. Helpful!
- Excellent.
- Excellent presentation with many examples.
- Great information and presentation. I would have liked to try a hands-on exercise.
- Very nice presentation – clear & concise. Thank you!!
- I liked and benefited from the presenter's references, as the background to other (?) in his field.
- Excellent workshop. Exercises would have been a good addition if there was more time. Thanks!

- **Excellent workshop. Speaker really taught information rather than just presenting. Obviously has deep and expert subject knowledge.**
- **Excellent speaker/presenter. Slides showed great examples. The only knock: the homework was somewhat daunting & uninteresting – didn't feel like I learned anything from it so I didn't finish it. But the presentation itself exceeded my expectations!**
- **Very informative course; engaging presentation of material.**
- **I'd heard this was a great course and was not disappointed. It exceeded my expectations. The amount of material was just right to be digestible in the time period given, and there is some hope that I'll remember it & be able to use it. I would recommend the course to others.**
- **The course a great way to analyze the functions of tables and graphs. I especially liked Tom's discussion on the differences of analyzing data and communicating data.**
- **His "tidbits of trivia" were super! Broke up the lecture. Fabulous as he has so much experience. His various quotes were super – really applied to the subject matter! What an excellent, excellent teacher!**

WORKSHOP EVALUATION
New England Chapter Conference
March 28, 2009

Workshop Title: Statistics for Medical Writers and Editors (G/SG) [#110]

Workshop Leader(s): Thomas A. Lang

of Registrants: 33

of Evaluations: 25

	1	2	3	4	5	# evals	averages	n/a
A. Organization	0	0	0	7	18	25	4.72	
B. Overall quality	0	0	0	9	16	25	4.64	
C. Knowledge of subject	0	0	0	0	25	25	5.00	
D. Presentation of material	0	0	0	5	20	25	4.80	
E. Ability to develop & guide participation and interaction	0	0	3	5	17	25	4.56	
F. Willingness to respond to questions	0	0	0	2	23	25	4.92	
G. Pacing of the workshop	0	1	0	10	14	25	4.48	
H. Learning value of homework	0	1	4	7	13	25	4.28	
I. Exercises & handouts during course	0	0	2	9	12	23	4.43	
						SCORE	4.65	

Met Expectations: Yes: 24 No: 0 Other: 1

Subject Experience:

None: 9 <1yr: 5 1-5 yrs: 5 6-10 yrs: 3 11-15 yrs: 1 >15 yrs: 0 N/A: 2

Comments:

- I came away w/approximately an estimate of 60% retention for statistics.
- Maybe the workshop needs to be split into 2 – 1st (AM) on variables, levels of measurement, descriptive statistics. 2nd (PM) going into inferential statistics, hypothesis testing, etc. Depends how much detail you think audience can stand. 2nd ½ of afternoon gives high level view. I'm going to go back and poke at it – look at it deeper.
- May want to consider being able to waive stats requirement if taken graduate level stats course. Otherwise, great course – very concise and a good overview of most commonly used concepts.
- This course was a nice refresher, but I feel as though others are lost – I've taken a lot of stats & I was even lost @ times. Very good teacher, makes dry topics interesting. Great presenter.
- Excellent speaker, great presentation & handouts – exceeded my expectations. Homework wasn't very useful compared with the slide presentation.

- **Excellent!**
- **Too much information for me to assimilate in a rapidly paced session.**
- **This was a good workshop. I was able to take away many things that will make my job easier and more enjoyable!**
- **The homework was extremely helpful for me to understand the concepts covered in the course.**
- **Good use of levity for an otherwise dull subject, try to incorporate more.**
- **Excellent teacher. Good outside reading.**
- **Great workshop. Very dynamic and knowledgeable leader. I learned a great deal. Thanks.**
- **Good teaching style; took us through pace by pace, step by step. Still a challenging subject, but I feel much more confident looking at, asking about, and writing about statistics.**
- **A lot of information for a non-statistical person – I wish this class was offered in the morning, when I was wide awake(?).**
- **Great interaction, very useful info.**
- **Excellent for non-statisticians.**
- **Excellent! Would highly recommend!**
- **This course was excellent; very easy to understand and useful. The only glitch was that the answer sheet for the homework was incorrect, and although we were given a corrected version at the beginning of the class it would have been helpful to have it in the first place. I would highly recommend the workshop!**